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# WASHINGTON MEWS 

A MID-BLOCK INFILL MEWS HOUSING DEVELOPMENT SECTION 4. 70 - BLOCK 48-LOTS 37 \& 38











COVERAGEAREAS \& REQUIRED SETBACKS (1/16" = 1'-0")


GREY AREA SHOWS
SETBACK REQUIREMENTS AS DETERMINED BY THE
HASTINGS-on-HUDSON BLDG DEPT.


GREY HATCHED AREA SHOWS ENCROACHMENT
ENTIRE WEST SIDE ENCROACHES 5,289 SE
ENTIRE WEST SIDE ENCROACHES 5,289 SF. \& A PORTION OF EAST HOUSES ENCROACHES 1,202 SF.
THE SUB GRADE GARAGE ALSO ENCROACHES 294 SF.
S-4 ENCROACHES 225 SF
TOTAL ENCROACHMENT AREA IS 7488 SF OR $36.63 \%$ OF SITE AREA

1) Planning Considerations for the Washington Mews Project
Rubbish \& Reercyling Removal
We have revieved facilites sporvided $a t$ other multi-family developments
in Hastings such as
Hastings Landing.
Wee have elso met with Mike Gunthen, director of oublic works for Hastings
and reviewed the thacilites ropoosed
 bineat be needed he would move the project into atwice week pick up
reegiment The space we are rovoving both in torage and for curb side
 $\frac{\text { Snow Removal }}{\text { We shal be e ebuilit }}$



 Manually utiling a snow



 Waiks and path s smin to tomax toot candes.s. Alt fixtures will












At his sugesestion, it is our intention to request mat
the rojeect to be limite to to 15
minutes
Fire fighting
fire fijphing
Previus sorrespondence has been submitted on a review of the project b
the
Peliveries
The issue of mal has not been discussed with the postmaster but we know
that at


enings on West levation
This sully spinkiked buididing is classed as 7 $\qquad$





2) County Trunk Sewer Easement




3) Storm Water Retention Analysis for Washington Mews Project








 Vegetated Roofs. CN $8029145 \mathrm{FF} \times 5.42 \div 12=1316 \mathrm{CF}$ Mews Paving. CN $8564115 \mathrm{FF} \times 5.67+12=302 \mathrm{CF}$ Gorctal
$751739 \mathrm{sF} \times 4.81+12=69 \mathrm{CF}$
$20,40 \mathrm{cF}$ Total $\frac{20,4 a 0 \text { SF }}{\text { re Development Ruu off Analysis: }}$
Entire Site CN 75 20.400 SF $\times 4.81+12=8,193 \mathrm{CF}$


 Clear Interior volume of the recharger units $=7.15$ cutt per lineal foot
Void capacity in stone fil each side of recharger units 7.4 c cut per in Void capacity in stone filleach side ef recharger units 5.7 .44 cut per liin
$t$ Percolation nate over 24 hours at 15 min per nen $=6.38$ re 2904 unf
 Storm water retention during construction will onsist of silt fence and
hay baie back up p long boon he west and north


(4) Probable Service Connections:

-8 " Santitr semev connection to county sew . $\underset{\substack{\text {-Storm } \\ \text { plan. }}}{\substack{\text { Sewer on site disposal system as described above } 8 \text { on garage }}}$ - Underfround electrical serice will enter lectrical room shown on

| TABULATION OF ReSIDENTIAL UNITS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UNit | Level | net f.a. | BR's |  |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { Roobe } \\ \text { GAREN }}}{ }$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { OFF STREET } \\ \text { PARKING SPACES } \\ \text { REQUIRED } \end{gathered}$ |
| E-1 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { MEWS } \\ \text { Low } \\ \text { Lond } \\ \text { 2nd FL. } \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 100 S.F 100 S.F | 396 S.F. | No | 1.7 |
|  | total | 1622 S.F. | 2 | 200 S.E. | 396 S.F. |  |  |
| E-2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MEWS } \\ & \text { 2nd FL. } \end{aligned}$ | $470 \text { S.E. }$ | $\stackrel{1}{1}$ |  | $\underbrace{250}_{\text {cter }}$ S.F. | No | ${ }^{1.5}$ |
|  | total | 880 S.E. | 1 | 100 S.E. | 337 S.F. |  |  |
| E.3 | MEWS 2nd FL | $\begin{aligned} & 560 \text { S.F. } \\ & 480 \text { S. } .7 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{2}^{0}$ | 200 S.E. | ${ }_{\substack{257 \\ 80 \\ 80 . F}}$ | No | ${ }^{1.75}$ |
|  | Total | 1040 S.F. | 2 | 200 S.E. | 337 S.F. |  |  |
| E-4 | MEWS 2nd FL | $\begin{aligned} & 560 \text { S.F. } \\ & 480 \text { S.E. } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{0}{2}$ | 200 S.F. | $\underset{\substack{257 \text { s.E. } \\ 80}}{ }$ | No | 1.75 |
|  | total | 1040 S.E. | 2 | 200 S.E. | ${ }^{337}$ S.E. |  |  |
| E-5 | MEWS 2nd FL |  | $\stackrel{0}{1}$ | 100 S.E. | ${ }_{\substack{257 \\ 80 \\ 80}}$ | No | 1.5 |
|  | Total | ${ }^{860 \text { S.F. }}$ | 1 | 100 S.F. | ${ }^{3} 37$ S.F. |  |  |
| w-1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MEWS } \\ & \text { 2nd FL. } \\ & \text { LOWER } \end{aligned}$ LOWEI |  |  | 100 S.F <br> 200 S.F <br> 100 S.F | $124 \mathrm{~S} . \mathrm{F}$ <br> $124 \mathrm{~S} . \mathrm{E}$ 124 S. 1245 | yes | 2.0 |
|  | total | 2542 S.F. |  | 400 S.E. | 496 S.F. |  |  |
| W-2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MEWS } \\ & \text { MED FL } \\ & \text { LOWER } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 200 S.E. | $\begin{aligned} & 89 \mathrm{s.F} \\ & 89 \mathrm{~F} . \\ & 89 \mathrm{~s} . \mathrm{F} . \end{aligned}$ | yes | 1.75 |
|  | total | 1437 S.F. | 2 | 200 S.E. | 267 S.E. |  |  |
| w-3 | MEWS 2nd FL LOWER |  |  | 100 S.E. |  | yes | 1.5 |
|  | total | 1255 S.E. | 1 | 100 S.E. | 267 S.E. |  |  |
| w-4 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { MEWS } \\ \text { 2n FL } \\ \text { HOWR } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 449 \mathrm{SF.} \\ \begin{array}{c} 49 . \\ 495 \mathrm{~S} . \mathrm{F} \\ 357 \mathrm{~S} . \mathrm{F} . \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & 1 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 100 S.f. |  | yes | 1.5 |
|  | total | 1255 S.E. | 1 | 100 S.E. | 267 S.E. |  |  |
| w-5 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 200 S.F. | $\begin{gathered} 89 \mathrm{S.F} \\ \text { s. } \\ \text { B9.F. } \\ 89 \mathrm{~S} . \mathrm{F} . \end{gathered}$ | No | 1.5 |
|  | Total | 1628 S.F. | 2 | 200 S.E. | 267 S.F. |  |  |
| w-6 | MEWS 2nd FL |  | 0 2 2 2 | $\frac{200 \text { S.E. }}{200 \mathrm{SE} \text {. }}$ | $89 \text { S.F. }$ $89 \text { S.F. }$ | YES | ${ }^{1.75}$ |
|  | Total |  | $\stackrel{2}{0}$ | 200 S.E. | S.E. Roor |  |  |
| w-7 |  |  | + | 200 S.E. |  | YEs | 1.5 |
|  | total | ${ }^{8988 \text { S.F. }}$ | 1 | 200 S.F. | 178 S.E. + Roor |  |  |
| s-1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MEWS } \\ & \text { 2nd FL. } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $200 \text { s. } \mathrm{F} \text {. }$ | $\begin{aligned} & 99 \text { S.F. } \\ & 163 \text { S. . } \\ & 163 \text { S. } \end{aligned}$ | yes | ${ }^{1.75}$ |
|  | Total | 1797 S.E. | 2 | 200 S.F. | 425 S.F. |  |  |
| S-2 | MEWS Low LOR FR 2nd 3rd FL. |  | 2 0 0 0 2 | 200 S.E. <br> 200 S.E. | $\begin{aligned} & 127 \text { S.F. } \\ & 127 \text { S.F. } \\ & 191 \text { S.F. } \\ & 191 \text { S.F. } \end{aligned}$ | yes | ${ }^{2.0}$ |
|  | total | 2500 S.E. |  | 400 S.E. | 636 S.F. |  |  |
| S-3 | mews 2nd EL 3 rd FL. |  | 2 | 200 S.E. <br> 200 S.E. | $\begin{aligned} & 127 \text { S.F. } \\ & 127 \text { S.F. } \\ & 191 \text { S.F. } \\ & 191 \text { S.F. } \end{aligned}$ | yes | 2.0 |
|  | total | 2500 S.F. | 4 | 400 S.F. | 636 S.F. |  |  |
| S-4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MEWS } \\ & \text { 2nd FL. } \\ & \text { 3rd FL. } \end{aligned}$ $3 \mathrm{rdFL}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 200 S.E. | 164 S.F <br> 164 S.F | No | 1.75 |
|  | Total | 1314 S.E. | - | 200 S.F. | 328 S.F. |  |  |
| Cafe | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MEWS } \\ & \text { LOWER } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65 \mathrm{SFF} \\ & \hline 58 \mathrm{SF} \\ & \hline 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\bigcirc$ | : | $\begin{gathered} 127 \text { S.F. } \\ \substack{0 \\ 0 \text { S.F. }} \end{gathered}$ | no | 0 |
|  | total | 1412 S.F. | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| $\underbrace{}_{\substack{\text { grand } \\ \text { Totals }}}$ |  | 25,40 S.F. |  | 3200 S.F. | 6075 s.F. |  | $271 / 4$ Spaces |


| ZONING ANALYSIS MR-C DISTRICT LOTS 37 \& 38, SECTION 4.70, BLOCK 48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| discussion points | Item | REQUIRED |  | existing | proposed |  | REQUIRED VARIANCES |
| COVERAGE CALCULATIONS <br> (SEE dRAWING SHowing coverage on sheet 9) <br> Total project coverage exceeds the $80 \%$ permitted by 295-72-2 e (4) only because the Hastings Building Dept has deemed the portion of the Mews over the subgrade garage to constitute building coverage. The basis for this interpretation is that the garage constitutes a structure and that structures constitute coverage. <br> We have reviewed the definition of structure in 295-5 and very clearly it refers only to items placed above grade. The Mews is located at a grade very close to that now existing. We do not believe it should be considered as building coverage. If the Mews area was replaced by pervious pavement at $50 \%$ total only $6.4 \%$ or $11.6 \%$ if the portion of the mews on natural grade is also included. | Lot area | 2500 SF |  | 20,440 SF/ 0.4692 acres | 20,40 SF |  |  |
|  | MINIMUM AREA PER <br> DWELLING UNIT | ${ }^{500}$ SF |  | N.A. | 1278 SF |  |  |
|  | BUILDING <br> COVERAGE ABOVE <br> GRADE | N.A. |  | 5296 SF or 25.9\% | ${ }^{12,293}$ FF or 60.1\% |  |  |
|  | TOTAL COVERAGE BY ALL 'STRUCTURES' | 80\% MAX 16,352 SF |  | 5596 SF or 27.3\% | 17,944 SF or 87.8\% |  | Relief from 295-72-2 E 4 $87.8 \%$ in lieu of $80 \%$ |
|  | TOTAL PERVIOUS PAVING COVERAGE |  |  | 952 SF or $4.6 \%$ | 1053.11 SF or $5.2 \%$ |  |  |
|  | TOTAL DEVELOPMENT COVERAGE | not stipulated |  | APPROX. 57.89\% | 91.6\% |  | MAXIMUM IS NOT STIPULATED. <br> 295-72-2 E 4 DOES NOT MENTION PERVIOUS PAVEMENT |
| OPEN SPACE <br> Required open space 295-72.2 a (2) <br> Except for five east units which have 13'-9"deep gardens at grade, all units will have open space created <br> within the footprint of the unit by a combination of balconies and roof terraces. <br> The Hastings Building Department has deemed that the mews is not a 'court' as defined in 295-5 and <br> therefore that 295-21 C does not apply to this project. | OPEN SPACE BY UNIT <br> Per 295-72.2.A(2), requirement is <br> 100 SF for each studio or 1 bedroom <br> unit +100 SF added for each <br> additional bedroom. <br> the next columns areas are shown in <br> Provided space <br> in all cases. |  |  | N.A. | E-1 396 SF <br> E-3 337 SF <br> $\begin{array}{ll}\text { E-4 } & 337 \mathrm{SF} \\ \mathrm{E}-5 & 337 \mathrm{SF}\end{array}$ <br> W-1 496 SF <br> W-3 267 SF <br> W-4 267 SF |  | None |
| OFF STREET PARKING REQUIREMENTS <br> The new curb cut will be $22^{\prime}$ in width less than the 24 ' allowed. <br> The existing 12 ' curb cut will be removed. <br> The entry will be restricted to right turn in \& right turn out <br> The ramp connecting the sidewalk within the garage will permit 2 way traffic. However by means of signal lights we will avoid this. <br> One vehicle may wait off the street not obstructing traffic while the entry door is in operation. Cars <br> exiting the garage will be aware of such a vehicle and be able to wait until the ramp is clear. Ramp grade <br> is well within the $5 \%$ maximum stipulated in 295-31. | Per 295-36 A, <br> quired parking per unit is: <br> $11 / 4$ spaces for studio <br> $11 / 2$ spaces for 1 bedroom <br> $13 / 4$ spaces for 2 bedrooms Per 295-36 E, <br> CAFE is exemp <br> TOTAL REQUIRED: 29 |  |  | N.A. |  | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { W-5 } & 2 \\ \text { W-6 } & 2 \\ \text { W-7 } & 13 / 4 \\ \text { S-1 } & 2 \\ \text { S-2 } & 2 \\ \text { S-3 } & 2 \\ \text { S-4 } & 13 / 4 \\ \text { CAFE } & 0 \end{array}$ | RELIEF FROM 295-36A TO ALLOW THE PROVISION OF 25 SPACES IN LIEU OF $271 / 4$ |
| YARD REQUIREMENTS <br> When this project was first reviewed by Mr. Sharma in August of 2007 all yard requirements were deemed to be zero and were so shown on the schedule in the code. Mr. Minozzi advised us on May 29th that all side yards in this district are required to be $10^{\circ}$ as all properties abut lands in the same MR-C district and that the MR-C district is to be considered a residential district. In addition he stated that additional set backs after the first $50^{\prime} @ 1$ ' per $10^{\prime}$ as required by $295-20 \mathrm{G}$ would be added to the $10^{\prime}$ yard. This results in a 27 ' side yard at the west side of the site and $25^{\prime}$ 'on the east side Up until this time we thought 295-72.2 e (1) referred to residential only R districts and also that 295-20 G could not be added to a zero setback. Of the 22 properties that comprise the MR-C district none are provisions would naturally eliminate the possibility of a Mews development and instead lead to an apartment block concept which we would consider less desirable for the site \& for the neighborhood. Since the purpose of districting is to maintain the historic context \& character of the existing buildings, (295-2 K) \& (295-109 a\&b), it seems inconsistent to apply 295-72.2 (e) to any building in this district. Site plan proposed meets all criteria outlined in 295-109. |  | FRONT: 0 <br> SIDES: 10 <br> (INCREASING <br> SEE DWG ON <br> TOTAL WEST TOTAL EAST |  | 0 © © FRONT <br> 0 @ WEST 10 @ $\begin{aligned} & \text { EAST }\end{aligned}$ <br> +/-150 @ NoRTH | FRONT: $0^{\prime}$ REAR: 1 EAST: 13 WEST: $0^{\prime}$ |  | RELIEF FROM 295-72E (1) RELIEF FROM 295-20G <br> Encroachments total is8, 194 SF <br> SEE DW <br> EE DWG 9 |
| HEIGHT LIMITS <br> (SEE SHEETS 12, 13 \& 14) <br> 295-5 "HEIGHT, BUILDING" B (1) \& B (2) $+295-72-2$ (E) 3 (a) \& (b) limiting height to $40^{\circ}$. | All builiding sare in compliance with 29.7.2.2. (E) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EXITWAY/CONNECTION TO TRAIN STATION <br> We propose a gravel pathway approximately 4 ' wide to connect the exit stair on the north end of the development to existing old staris in need of reconstruction at the SW comer of the station parking lot. (se sheet 1 ) These stars give out to the sidewalk leading to Southide Avenue and the sation. We are asking the village to enter into a reciprocal easement agreement with RTB Washington LLC or their perpetuity as a public pedestrian way. In tum the village would receive a public right of passage through the Mews at the Mews level to Washington Avenue We contacted Erika Krieger of the New York DOS regarding obtaining a state code variance to permit an exit into a 4 wide path in lieu of the 10 ' wide laneway prescribed by the code. Erica thought this likely. exit into 4 wide pathin inien of the 10 wide laneway prescribed by the code. Erica thought this likely. | exit to train station |  |  |  |  |  | A recommendation from the PB \& ZBA to the B of T that such an easement be granted. |
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VIEWPOINT MAP scale: 1 "-100'

site before trees removal (looking west from deck level of Warburton houses)

winter view

view 1 - existing

view 2 - existing

view 3 - existing

view 1 - proposed

view 2 - proposed

view 3 - proposed

